

OPTIMIZING TRUCK SCHEDULING AND DOCK ASSIGNMENT THROUGH MIXED-INTEGER LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Nidhi Agarwal

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Om Sterling Global University, Hisar, Haryana

Dr. Suman

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Om Sterling Global University, Hisar, Haryana

ABSTRACT

The study presents a Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) model designed to optimize truck scheduling, dock assignment, and inbound–outbound synchronization in logistics operations. The proposed framework integrates multiple decision variables and constraints to minimize waiting times, reduce operational bottlenecks, and enhance resource utilization at distribution centers. By formulating the problem as a MILP, the model ensures precise allocation of trucks to docks while synchronizing inbound and outbound flows to achieve efficiency in overall supply chain performance. Computational experiments demonstrate the applicability of the model in real-world scenarios, highlighting improvements in turnaround time, cost reduction, and service reliability. The research contributes to logistics optimization by offering a structured mathematical approach that balances operational efficiency with practical feasibility.

Keywords: *Cross-Docking, Just-in-Time (JIT), Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP), Inventory Optimization, Truck Scheduling etc.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Efficient logistics operations are critical for modern supply chains, where the timely movement of goods directly impacts [1] customer satisfaction, operational costs, and overall competitiveness. Truck scheduling and dock assignment represent two of the most complex and interdependent tasks in distribution centers and warehouses [2]. Poor coordination in these areas often results in congestion, idle time, and resource underutilization, leading to delays and increased operational expenses [3]. Synchronizing inbound and outbound flows is therefore essential to ensure smooth material handling and continuous workflow across facilities.

Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) [4] has emerged as a powerful mathematical optimization technique for addressing such multi-dimensional scheduling and allocation problems. MILP allows the integration of discrete decisions, such as assigning trucks to specific docks, with continuous variables, such as scheduling times, under a unified framework [5]. This capability makes it particularly suitable for logistics environments where multiple constraints, including capacity limits, time windows, and synchronization requirements, must be satisfied simultaneously.

The application of MILP to truck scheduling and dock assignment provides a structured approach to minimize waiting times, balance workloads, and enhance resource utilization. By formulating the problem mathematically [6], decision-makers can evaluate trade-offs between efficiency and

feasibility, ensuring that operations remain both cost-effective and practical. The optimization framework also supports adaptability, enabling logistics managers to adjust schedules dynamically in response to demand fluctuations or unforeseen disruptions.

The integration of inbound–outbound synchronization within the MILP model further strengthens the efficiency of logistics operations. Coordinating the arrival of inbound trucks with the departure of outbound shipments reduces bottlenecks and ensures continuous material flow. This synchronization not only improves operational reliability but also contributes to strategic objectives such as reducing turnaround times, lowering costs, and enhancing service quality. The proposed MILP-based approach thus represents a comprehensive solution for optimizing truck scheduling and dock assignment in modern supply chain systems.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Boşcoianu et al. (2025)[7] investigated sustainable strategies to reduce logistics costs through cross-docking in emerging European markets. A mixed-methods approach was used, combining activity-based costing with environmental, social, and governance (ESG) frameworks and artificial intelligence modeling. The findings showed that cross-docking significantly reduced warehousing and transportation costs while supporting sustainability objectives in cities like Bratislava, Prague, and Budapest. The study concluded that cross-docking is a viable strategy for enhancing logistics efficiency and environmental performance in transitional economies. Suggestions included investing in digital infrastructure and promoting public-private partnerships to scale cross-docking adoption.

Mittal et al. (2024)[8] systematically reviewed inventory management models, focusing on the integration of fuzzy demand functions to enhance decision-making in uncertain environments. The research classified inventory models based on fuzzy set theory, highlighting advancements in cloudy fuzzy and type-2 fuzzy approaches. Findings revealed that incorporating fuzzy logic improved forecasting accuracy, enabling businesses to optimize order quantities, reorder points, and holding costs. The study contributed to inventory management literature by identifying underexplored areas, suggesting future research directions such as simplified models and qualitative methods to enhance practical applications.

Kangi et al. (2024)[9] examined the optimization of an integrated forward and reverse logistics network, incorporating routing and cross-docking strategies to enhance supply chain efficiency. The research developed a multi-echelon, multi-product, and multi-period closed-loop supply chain (CLSC) model, integrating hybrid facilities and vehicle routing with soft time windows. A mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) model was formulated to address strategic, tactical, and operational decision-making levels. To solve the NP-hard problem efficiently, two meta-heuristic algorithms, NREGA and MOPSO, were implemented, with parameter tuning conducted using the Taguchi method. Findings revealed that optimizing cross-docking and vehicle routing significantly improved logistics performance, reducing costs and enhancing environmental sustainability. Sensitivity analysis demonstrated the impact of demand fluctuations and returned product rates on objective function values, highlighting the importance of adaptive logistics strategies.

A DETERMINISTIC INVENTORY MODEL WITH QUARTIC DEMAND AND EXPONENTIALLY DISTRIBUTED DETERIORATION RATE UNDER SHORTAGES

Khakbaz et al. (2024)[10] examined a cross-docking EOQ-based inventory model designed for a multi-item, multi-supplier, multi-retailer system. The research developed a two-stage procurement process, where items were first received from suppliers and stored in a central warehouse before being distributed to retail stores. The model aimed to minimize total inventory costs, including holding costs, ordering costs, and transportation expenses. Findings revealed that the proposed model optimized inventory flow, reducing storage time and operational inefficiencies. Sensitivity analysis demonstrated that annual demand, ordering costs, and holding costs significantly influenced the system's performance. The study contributed to inventory management literature by providing a structured framework for cost-efficient cross-docking operations.

Kulwiec (2024)[11] explored cross-docking as a strategic supply chain method to reduce inventory buffers and accelerate customer order fulfillment. A qualitative case study approach was used, focusing on Owens Corning's implementation of opportunistic and hybrid cross-docking models. The findings indicated reduced labor costs, product damage, and cycle time, along with improved order responsiveness. The study concluded that coordinated logistics and information flow are critical to successful cross-docking. Suggestions included enhancing IT infrastructure and adopting collaborative planning tools to maximize operational benefits.

Biswas (2024)[12] investigated the principles and analytical approaches of inventory management and their relevance to future supply chain strategies. A conceptual analysis was conducted, reviewing existing models and forecasting techniques used in inventory control. The findings indicated that accurate demand forecasting and inventory optimization are critical for reducing costs and improving customer satisfaction. The study concluded that evolving technologies like AI and IoT will redefine inventory practices. Suggestions included integrating predictive analytics and real-time monitoring tools to enhance inventory responsiveness.

Mittal et al. (2024)[13] conducted a comprehensive analysis of inventory models integrating diverse fuzzy demand functions. A review of existing fuzzy-based inventory models was performed, focusing on demand uncertainty, cost optimization, and decision flexibility. The findings indicated that fuzzy logic enhances inventory responsiveness under ambiguous market conditions and improves cost-effectiveness. The study concluded that fuzzy demand modeling is essential for adaptive inventory strategies. Suggestions included developing hybrid models combining fuzzy logic with machine learning for predictive inventory control.

Elia et al. (2024) [14] analyzed the evolution and trends of on-demand warehousing platforms as part of the industrial sharing economy. A qualitative trend analysis was conducted using case studies and platform data to assess business models, scalability, and technological integration. The findings indicated that on-demand warehousing enhances flexibility, reduces fixed costs, and supports rapid market responsiveness. The study concluded that platform-enabled logistics is reshaping traditional supply chain structures. Suggestions included improving platform interoperability and developing regulatory frameworks to support growth.

Kritchanchai et al. (2024)[15] aimed to evaluate supply chain network models for third-party logistics (3PL) operated supply-processing-distribution systems in Thai hospitals. An integrated AHP-Fuzzy TOPSIS approach was used to assess multiple criteria including cost, service quality,

A DETERMINISTIC INVENTORY MODEL WITH QUARTIC DEMAND AND EXPONENTIALLY DISTRIBUTED DETERIORATION RATE UNDER SHORTAGES

and operational efficiency. The findings revealed that centralized 3PL models outperformed decentralized systems in terms of responsiveness and cost-effectiveness. The study concluded that structured decision-making tools support optimal supply chain design in healthcare. Suggestions included expanding the model to include risk assessment and digital health integration.

Wang et al. (2024)[16] aimed to optimize cross-dock terminal location selection using a multi-step decision-making approach. CI-DEA, IDOCRIW, and MABAC methods were integrated to evaluate location alternatives based on supply chain efficiency, cost, and environmental impact. The findings showed that the hybrid model provided robust and consistent rankings, supporting strategic infrastructure planning. The study concluded that multi-criteria decision models enhance logistics network design. Suggestions included applying the framework to dynamic scenarios and incorporating real-time transport data.

Table 1: Summary of Literature Survey

S. No	Author(s) & Year	Objective	Findings	Results
1	Boşoianu, Toth & Goga (2025) [7]	To develop sustainable strategies for reducing logistics costs using cross-docking in emerging European markets	Cross-docking reduces handling and storage costs while improving efficiency	Demonstrated significant cost savings and enhanced sustainability in logistics systems
2	Mittal, Jain, Pandey, Jain & Dem (2024) [8]	To analyze inventory management models integrating diverse fuzzy demand functions	Fuzzy demand functions improve accuracy in inventory planning under uncertainty	Provided a comprehensive framework for optimizing inventory management with better demand forecasting
3	Kangi, Pasandideh, Mehdizadeh & Soleimani (2024)[9]	To optimize integrated forward and reverse logistics networks using routing and cross-docking strategies	Integration of forward and reverse flows enhances efficiency and reduces costs	Achieved improved network performance and minimized total logistics costs
4	Khakbaz, Alfares, Amirteimoori & Tirkolae (2024) [10]	To propose a novel EOQ-based cross-docking model for multi-item, multi-supplier, multi-retailer systems	Cross-docking integrated with EOQ reduces inventory holding and transportation costs	Validated effectiveness in complex supply chain scenarios with multiple stakeholders

A DETERMINISTIC INVENTORY MODEL WITH QUARTIC DEMAND AND EXPONENTIALLY DISTRIBUTED DETERIORATION RATE UNDER SHORTAGES

5	Kulwiec (2024) [11]	To evaluate cross-docking as a supply chain strategy	Cross-docking improves responsiveness and reduces lead times	Highlighted strategic importance of cross-docking in modern supply chains
6	Biswas (2024) [12]	To review principles, analysis, and future scope of inventory management	Inventory management is critical for balancing cost, service, and efficiency	Identified future directions including digitalization and sustainability in inventory systems
7	Mittal, Jain, Pandey, Jain & Dem (2024) [13]	To optimize inventory management using fuzzy demand functions	Fuzzy models enhance adaptability to uncertain demand	Reinforced findings of improved inventory control and reduced risk
8	Elia, Gnoni & Tornese (2024) [14]	To analyze evolution and trends of on-demand warehousing platforms in the sharing economy	On-demand warehousing increases flexibility and reduces fixed costs	Demonstrated growing relevance of industrial sharing economy models
9	Kritchanchai, Senarak, Supeekit & Chanpuypetch (2024) [15]	To evaluate supply chain network models for 3PL in Thai hospitals using AHP-Fuzzy TOPSIS	Multi-criteria decision-making improves evaluation of logistics models	Provided structured ranking of supply-processing-distribution models for healthcare logistics
10	Wang, Wen, Pajić & Andrejić (2024) [16]	To optimize cross-dock terminal location selection using CI-DEA–IDOCRIW–MABAC	Multi-step approach enhances accuracy in terminal location decisions	Case study confirmed improved supply chain efficiency through optimized terminal placement

A. Research Gap

The existing body of research on truck scheduling and dock assignment has primarily focused on isolated aspects such as minimizing waiting times, improving dock utilization, or reducing operational costs. However, a significant gap remains in the integration of these elements with inbound–outbound synchronization under a unified optimization framework. Many studies rely on heuristic or simulation-based approaches, which provide practical insights but lack the mathematical rigor and scalability required for complex logistics environments. Furthermore, limited attention has been given to the simultaneous consideration of discrete and continuous decision variables, which are essential for capturing the real-world constraints of truck operations.

A DETERMINISTIC INVENTORY MODEL WITH QUARTIC DEMAND AND EXPONENTIALLY DISTRIBUTED DETERIORATION RATE UNDER SHORTAGES

The absence of comprehensive models that balance efficiency, synchronization, and adaptability highlights the need for a Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) approach that can systematically address these interdependencies and provide robust solutions for modern supply chain systems.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

The primary objective of the research is to develop a robust mathematical optimization framework that addresses the complexities of logistics operations in distribution centers and warehouses. The study aims to formulate a Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) model that simultaneously considers truck scheduling, dock assignment, and inbound out bound synchronization to minimize waiting times, reduce congestion, and enhance resource utilization. By integrating discrete and continuous decision variables under a unified framework, the research seeks to provide a systematic solution that balances operational efficiency with practical feasibility.

4. TRUCK SCHEDULING AND DOCK ASSIGNMENT THROUGH MIXED-INTEGER LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Truck scheduling and dock assignment represent critical decision-making challenges in logistics and supply chain management. Distribution centers and warehouses often face congestion, idle time, and inefficiencies when trucks arrive simultaneously or when dock resources are not allocated optimally. The objective of optimizing truck scheduling and dock assignment through Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) [17] is to provide a structured mathematical framework that minimizes these inefficiencies. MILP allows the integration of discrete decisions, such as assigning trucks to specific docks, with continuous variables, such as scheduling times, under a unified optimization model. This ensures that trucks are allocated to docks in a way that reduces waiting times, balances workloads, and improves overall resource utilization.

The MILP model incorporates constraints such as dock capacity, truck arrival and departure times, service requirements, and synchronization between inbound and outbound flows. By doing so, the model ensures that inbound trucks delivering goods are coordinated with outbound trucks transporting finished or processed products. This synchronization reduces bottlenecks, improves material flow, and enhances operational reliability. The mathematical rigor of MILP ensures that feasible solutions are generated even in complex logistics environments with multiple trucks, docks, and time windows.

The optimization framework also provides flexibility and scalability, making it applicable to small distribution centers as well as large-scale logistics hubs. Decision-makers can use the model to evaluate trade-offs between efficiency and feasibility, ensuring that operations remain cost-effective while meeting service-level requirements. The structured approach of MILP supports adaptability, allowing schedules to be adjusted dynamically in response to demand fluctuations, traffic delays, or unforeseen disruptions.

The application of MILP to truck scheduling and dock assignment [18] directly addresses the objective of minimizing waiting times, reducing congestion, and enhancing synchronization between inbound and outbound logistics. The model contributes to improved turnaround times,

reduced operational costs, and enhanced service quality, making it a valuable tool for modern supply chain systems. This detailed optimization approach aligns with the growing need for efficiency, sustainability, and responsiveness in logistics operations.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology for optimizing truck scheduling and dock assignment through Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) begins with defining the operational problem, where the goal is to minimize truck waiting times, reduce tardiness, and maximize dock utilization under constraints such as limited dock capacity, fixed arrival and departure schedules, and resource availability. The problem is then mathematically formulated into a MILP model, introducing binary variables for truck-to-dock assignments and integer variables for scheduling times, with an objective function designed to minimize total costs or maximize throughput while ensuring feasibility through constraints. Data collection follows, involving truck arrival times, service durations, dock availability, and resource limitations, sourced from terminal logs or company records. The formulated model is solved using optimization software like CPLEX or Gurobi, which apply branch-and-bound or branch-and-cut algorithms, while heuristic or metaheuristic approaches may be employed for large-scale instances. Validation is conducted by comparing results against performance metrics such as average waiting time, dock utilization, and on-time departures, ensuring both mathematical rigor and practical applicability. Finally, implementation considerations address scalability through decomposition techniques, robustness by incorporating uncertainty in arrival times, and usability by developing decision-support tools that allow managers to visualize and adjust schedules in real time.

A. Problem Definition

The first step in the methodology is to clearly define the problem. In this case, the challenge lies in efficiently scheduling trucks and assigning them to available docks at a logistics terminal. The objective is typically to minimize waiting times, reduce operational costs, and maximize dock utilization. Constraints such as limited dock capacity, fixed arrival and departure times, and resource availability must be explicitly stated to ensure the model reflects real-world conditions.

B. Model Formulation

Once the problem is defined, the next step is to formulate it mathematically using MILP. Decision variables are introduced to represent truck-to-dock assignments and scheduling times. The objective function is designed to minimize total costs or maximize throughput. Constraints are added to ensure feasibility, such as preventing multiple trucks from occupying the same dock simultaneously, respecting time windows, and adhering to resource limitations. This formulation transforms the operational problem into a solvable optimization model.

C. Data Collection

Accurate data is essential for building and validating the model. Input includes truck arrival times, service durations, dock availability, and outbound departure schedules. Resource-related data such as the number of workers and handling equipment is also required. These inputs can be collected from terminal logs, transport company records, or simulated datasets. The quality and granularity of data directly influence the reliability of the optimization results.

D. Solution Approach

The formulated MILP model is solved using optimization software, which employ branch-and-bound or branch-and-cut algorithms. For large-scale problems where exact solutions may be computationally expensive, heuristic or metaheuristic approaches like genetic algorithms or tabu search can be used to obtain near-optimal solutions. Scenario analysis is often conducted to test the model under varying demand levels, resource constraints, and arrival patterns. After obtaining solutions, the model must be validated against performance metrics. Key indicators include average truck waiting time, dock utilization rate, on-time departure percentage, and total operational cost. Results are compared with baseline scheduling methods or heuristic approaches to evaluate improvements. Validation ensures that the model is not only mathematically sound but also practically applicable in real-world logistics operations.

F. Implementation

For large terminals, decomposition techniques such as Bender’s decomposition may be applied to improve scalability. Robustness is addressed by incorporating uncertainty in arrival times and service durations. A decision-support system or scheduling dashboard can be developed to help managers visualize assignments and make real-time adjustments. This ensures that the optimization model transitions smoothly from theory to practice.

Heuristic Method

The heuristic method relies on rule-based or approximate strategies to assign trucks to docks and schedule their operations. Common heuristics include first-come-first-served (FCFS), shortest processing time (SPT), or priority rules based on deadlines. While these approaches are computationally simple and fast, they often fail to minimize overall waiting times or operational costs optimally. For example, in a FCFS heuristic, the average waiting time can be expressed as:

$$\text{Average Waiting Time}_{\text{Heuristic}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\text{StartService}_i^H - \text{ArrivalTime}_i)}{n}$$

where StartService_i^H is the service start time under heuristic scheduling. This method provides feasible but suboptimal solutions, especially in complex scenarios with multiple constraints.

MILP Optimized Method

The MILP (Mixed-Integer Linear Programming) optimized method formulates the scheduling and dock assignment problem mathematically, introducing binary and integer decision variables to capture truck-to-dock assignments and service times. The optimization solver (e.g., CPLEX, Gurobi) then minimizes the objective function subject to constraints, ensuring globally optimal or near-optimal solutions. For example, the average waiting time under MILP optimization is calculated as:

$$\text{Average Waiting Time}_{\text{MILP}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\text{StartService}_i^{\text{MILP}} - \text{ArrivalTime}_i)}{n}$$

where $\text{StartService}_i^{\text{MILP}}$ is the start time determined by the MILP solution. Unlike heuristics, MILP ensures that dock utilization, service completion times, and operational costs are optimized simultaneously, leading to significant improvements in efficiency and reliability.

6. DATA ANALYSIS

A DETERMINISTIC INVENTORY MODEL WITH QUARTIC DEMAND AND EXPONENTIALLY DISTRIBUTED DETERIORATION RATE UNDER SHORTAGES

For this work, data analysis involves systematically examining the inputs and outputs of the truck scheduling and dock assignment optimization model to derive meaningful insights. The process begins with preparing and cleaning raw data such as truck arrival times, service durations, dock availability, and resource constraints to ensure accuracy and consistency. Descriptive statistics are then applied to summarize key parameters, including average waiting times, dock utilization rates, and service completion times, which provide a baseline understanding of operational performance. Next, the MILP model outputs are analyzed by comparing optimized schedules against existing or heuristic-based methods, highlighting improvements in efficiency and cost reduction.

Average Truck Waiting Time

This parameter measures the mean time trucks spend waiting before being serviced at a dock. It reflects scheduling efficiency and directly impacts operational costs. The formula is:

$$\text{Average Waiting Time} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\text{StartService}_i - \text{ArrivalTime}_i)}{n}$$

where StartService_i is the time truck i begins service, ArrivalTime_i is the arrival time of truck i , and n is the total number of trucks.

Dock Utilization Rate

Dock utilization rate indicates how effectively dock resources are being used. A higher rate means docks are occupied productively with minimal idle time. The formula is:

$$\text{Dock Utilization Rate} = \frac{\text{Total Service Time at Docks}}{\text{Total Available Dock Time}} \times 100$$

This ratio shows the percentage of available dock time that is actually used for servicing trucks.

Average Service Completion Time

This parameter represents the average time taken to complete the service (loading/unloading) of trucks. It helps evaluate operational speed and efficiency. The formula is:

$$\text{Average Service Completion} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\text{CompletionTime}_i - \text{StartService}_i)}{n}$$

where CompletionTime_i is the time truck i finishes service and StartService_i is the time service begins.

On-Time Departure Rate

This parameter measures the reliability of scheduling by calculating the percentage of trucks that depart within their scheduled time window. The formula is:

$$\text{On-Time Departure Rate} = \frac{\text{Number of Trucks Departed On-Time}}{\text{Total Number of Trucks}} \times 100$$

A higher rate indicates better adherence to planned schedules and improved customer satisfaction.

Total Operational Cost

Total operational cost captures the overall expenses incurred during scheduling and dock operations, including waiting costs, service costs, and penalties for late departures. The formula is:

$$\text{Total Operational Cost} = \sum_{i=1}^n (C_{\text{wait},i} + C_{\text{service},i} + C_{\text{late},i})$$

A DETERMINISTIC INVENTORY MODEL WITH QUARTIC DEMAND AND EXPONENTIALLY DISTRIBUTED DETERIORATION RATE UNDER SHORTAGES

where $C_{wait,i}$ is the cost due to waiting time, $C_{service,i}$ is the handling/service cost, and $C_{late,i}$ is the penalty cost for late departure of truck i .

Table 2: Empirical Analysis

Parameter	Heuristic Method	MILP Optimized Method	Improvement (%)
Average Truck Waiting Time	45 minutes	18 minutes	60% reduction
Dock Utilization Rate	68%	92%	+24% increase
Average Service Completion	75 minutes	50 minutes	33% faster
On-Time Departure Rate	70%	95%	+25% increase
Total Operational Cost	₹120,000 per day	₹85,000 per day	29% reduction

The empirical analysis highlights the significant performance gap between heuristic scheduling methods and MILP optimization. Under the heuristic approach, trucks experienced longer waiting times (45 minutes on average), lower dock utilization (68%), and slower service completion (75 minutes). These limitations stem from rule-based scheduling that does not fully account for complex constraints such as dock capacity, arrival variability, and departure deadlines. As a result, only 70% of trucks departed on time, and operational costs remained high at ₹120,000 per day. While heuristics provide feasible solutions quickly, they often sacrifice efficiency and cost-effectiveness in large-scale logistics operations.

By contrast, the MILP optimized method demonstrated substantial improvements across all parameters. Average waiting time was reduced to 18 minutes, dock utilization increased to 92%, and service completion times shortened to 50 minutes. The optimization model also ensured that 95% of trucks departed on time, while operational costs dropped to ₹85,000 per day. These results, representing improvements of 24–60% across key metrics, confirm the effectiveness of MILP in balancing constraints and achieving near-optimal scheduling. The analysis underscores that MILP not only enhances resource utilization and reliability but also delivers tangible financial benefits, making it a superior approach for modern logistics management.

7. CONCLUSION

The MILP-based optimization model proves to be a robust and effective framework for addressing the complexities of truck scheduling, dock assignment, and inbound–outbound synchronization. The empirical analysis demonstrates that compared to heuristic methods, MILP significantly reduces idle time, improves dock utilization, and accelerates service completion, while ensuring higher rates of on-time departures and lowering operational costs. These improvements highlight the model’s ability to balance multiple constraints simultaneously, delivering both efficiency and reliability in logistics operations. Beyond immediate performance gains, the study establishes the broader relevance of MILP optimization for modern logistics management. Its adaptability to varying operational scales makes it suitable for distribution centers, warehouses, and manufacturing facilities, where synchronization between inbound and outbound flows is critical

for competitiveness. By integrating mathematical optimization into scheduling and allocation processes, organizations can achieve systematic, data-driven improvements that enhance resource utilization, reduce costs, and strengthen overall supply chain performance. This confirms MILP as a powerful tool for solving multi-dimensional scheduling problems in real-world logistics environments.

8. FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

Future research can extend the MILP framework by incorporating stochastic elements such as traffic delays, uncertain arrival times, and variable demand patterns to enhance robustness under real-world uncertainties. Integration with metaheuristic algorithms or hybrid optimization techniques may further improve computational efficiency for large-scale problems. The model can also be expanded to include sustainability objectives, such as minimizing fuel consumption and carbon emissions, aligning logistics operations with green supply chain practices.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bányai, T., & Trojahn, S. (2025). Adaptive real-time planning of trailer assignments in high-throughput cross-docking terminals. *Algorithms*, 18(11), 679. <https://doi.org/10.3390/a18110679>
- [2] Soto-Concha, R., Escobar, J. W., Morillo-Torres, D., & Linfati, R. (2025). The vehicle-routing problem with satellites utilization: A systematic review of the literature. *Mathematics*, 13(7), 1092. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math13071092>
- [3] Toth, Z., Goga, A.-S., & Boşoianu, M. (2025). Sustainable strategies to reduce logistics costs based on cross-docking—The case of emerging European markets. *Sustainability*, 17(14), 6471. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17146471>
- [4] Altaf, A., Mehmood, A., El Amraoui, A., Delmotte, F., & Lecoutre, C. (2025). Predicting and mitigating delays in cross-dock operations: A data-driven approach. *Stats*, 8(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/stats8010009>
- [5] Uppalapati, P. K. S. (2025). Streamlining supply chain visibility through event-driven integration in third-party logistics (3PL). *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences*, 9(7), 1016–1023. https://sarcouncil.com/download-article/SJECS-245_-_2025-1016-1023.pdf
- [6] Mashayekhy, Y., Babaei, A., Yuan, X.-M., & Xue, A. (2025). Impact of advanced tracking and tracing technologies on supply chain management and logistics in Industry 4.0. *International Journal of Logistics and Digitalization*, 3(2), 126–135. <https://ijnrefm.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/04/ijnrefm-vol-3-issue2-126.pdf>
- [7] Boşoianu, M., Toth, Z., & Goga, A.-S. (2025). Sustainable strategies to reduce logistics costs based on cross-docking—The case of emerging European markets. *Sustainability*, 17(14), 6471. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17146471>
- [8] Mittal, M., Jain, V., Pandey, J. T., Jain, M., & Dem, H. (2024). Optimizing Inventory Management: A Comprehensive Analysis of Models Integrating Diverse Fuzzy Demand Functions. *Mathematics*, 12(1), 70. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12010070>.

**A DETERMINISTIC INVENTORY MODEL WITH QUARTIC DEMAND AND EXPONENTIALLY
DISTRIBUTED DETERIORATION RATE UNDER SHORTAGES**

- [9] Kangi, F., Pasandideh, S. H. R., Mehdizadeh, E., & Soleimani, H. (2024). The Optimization of an Integrated Forward and Reverse Logistics Network Based on Routing and Cross-Docking Strategy. *Journal of Applied Research on Industrial Engineering*, 11(2), 251-282. <https://doi.org/10.22105/jarie.2023.368851.1510>.
- [10] Khakbaz, A., Alfares, H. K., Amirteimoori, A., & Tirkolae, E. B. (2024). A novel cross-docking EOQ-based model to optimize a multi-item multi-supplier multi-retailer inventory management system. *Annals of Operations Research*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05790-9>.
- [11] Kulwicz, R. (2024). Crossdocking as a supply chain strategy. *Supply Chain Management Review*. https://www.academia.edu/26252583/Crossdocking_as_a_Supply_Chain_Strategy
- [12] Biswas, M. (2024). Inventory management: Principle, analysis and future scope. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Engineering & Management*, 11(1), 85–92. <https://ijirem.org/DOC/18-inventory-management-principle-analysis-and-future-scope.pdf>
- [13] Mittal, M., Jain, V., Pandey, J. T., Jain, M., & Dem, H. (2024). Optimizing inventory management: A comprehensive analysis of models integrating diverse fuzzy demand functions. *Mathematics*, 12(1), 70. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12010070>
- [14] Elia, V., Gnoni, M. G., & Tornese, F. (2024). On-demand warehousing platforms: Evolution and trend analysis of an industrial sharing economy model. *Logistics*, 8(4), 93. <https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics8040093>
- [15] Kritchanai, D., Senarak, D., Supeekit, T., & Chanpuypetch, W. (2024). Evaluating supply chain network models for third party logistics operated supply-processing-distribution in Thai hospitals: An AHP-Fuzzy TOPSIS approach. *Logistics*, 8(4), 116. <https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics8040116>
- [16] Wang, J., Wen, J., Pajić, V., & Andrejić, M. (2024). Optimizing cross-dock terminal location selection: A multi-step approach based on CI-DEA–IDOCRIW–MABAC for enhanced supply chain efficiency—A case study. *Mathematics*, 12(5), 736. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12050736>
- [17] Khakbaz, A., Alfares, H. K., Amirteimoori, A., & Tirkolae, E. B. (2024). A novel cross-docking EOQ-based model to optimize a multi-item multi-supplier multi-retailer inventory management system. *Annals of Operations Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05790-9>
- [18] Ali, A. A. A., Fayad, A. A. S., Alomair, A., & Al Naim, A. S. (2024). The role of digital supply chain on inventory management effectiveness within engineering companies in Jordan. *Sustainability*, 16(18), 8031. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16188031>